

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/322,333	05/28/1999	TAKESHI KONDO	1217-990766	7839	
75	01/10/2002				
RUSSELL D ORKIN 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			ZIRKER, DANIEL R		
PITTSBURG, PA 152191818			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TATER NUMBER	
			1771 DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4.55	
	Application No.	Applicant(s		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover si	eet beneath the	correspondence address-	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SECOND THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	OMONTH	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory	minimum of thirty (3)	days will be considered timely. ate of this communication.	
Status			,	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/	16/01			
☐ This action is FINAL .			•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.C	prosecution as t	o the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s) 1 - 4		is/are	pending in the application	
Of the above claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from consideration	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are		
□ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si requir	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si require	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approv	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si require	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approvijected to by the Examin	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si require	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approvijected to by the Examin	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si require	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approvi ected to by the Examinar. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 softhe priority documen	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are st require ed □ disapprove er.	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approviected to by the Examinar. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 of the priority documenates.	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are si require ed □ disapprove er.	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are st require ed □ disapprove er. CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are st require ed □ disapprove er. CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approving ap	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require ed □ disapprove er. D(a)-(d). Its have been	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	
□ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approving ap	is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are are su require ed □ disapprove er. CT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	allowed. rejected. objected to. ubject to restriction or election rement.	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

1771

Art Unit

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

-2-

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP -355 (Nagamoto et al.) substantially for the reasons set forth in paragraph No. 2 of the final rejection, together with the following additional observations.

The Examiner first would like to emphasize the fact that an anticipation rejection is the most relied upon grounds for rejecting the pending claims. Additionally, applicants' remarks in their latest response, particularly those set forth at page 5 the last three lines, page 6 lines 15-21 and page 7 lines 4-6 are each strenuously disagreed with. Applicants' contention that the most important issue is what the reference considers to be the preferred embodiment of the invention which it describes is simply incorrect. Rather, the proper issue is what is the "closest prior art" to the claimed invention the reference discloses, which in this instance involves the identical coated article referred to at page 2, lines 12-19 of the final rejection. To hold otherwise and instead rely upon the aforementioned statements made by applicants which the Examiner has pointed out would, in essence, make relevant only comparisons versus what the prior art reference, which is possibly directed at solving a different problem, considered to be its most

Art Unit 1771

prominent examples, and would also substantially eliminate the remaining disclosure of the reference, regardless of how pertinent those part or parts of that disclosure might be. Thus, the Examiner must again strenuously disagree with applicants' contention that the reference has failed to "show an example of such a material inherently claiming the claimed performance parameter property".

Finally, it is noted that the amendment to the performance parameter range in claim 1 has eliminated the Examiner's obviousness argument that the parameter's range was not sufficiently distant from the range value which is found in the tested example of the reference.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan'el Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Art Unit 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

January 17, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

1700

Daniel Zirken